[TC - 008]

General comments on the Commission's revised proposals

Better than the original proposals, however, still some concerns around Fernagh in Newtownabbey (North Belfast).

Comments on the Commission's revised proposal for the constituency of Belfast East

Makes sense.

Comments on the Commission's revised proposal for the constituency of Belfast North

There needs to be a serious relook at how North Belfast is losing Fernagh. That whole area in Newtownabbey should remain within North Belfast. Trading Bleach Green, (Bleach Green Ave. & Bleach Green Crescent) for the whole of Fernagh (Princes Drive, Princes Crescent, Princes Ave. Fernagh Drive, Fernagh Court, Fernagh Ave. and Ypres Park.) makes little to no sense, as both Bleach Green and Fernagh are extremely similar. Also, by giving Fernagh to East Antrim, you are making it an exclave, surrounded by North Belfast, as the only way you can enter Fernagh would be to drive through North Belfast to get to it.  
  
As someone who lived in the area and who has relatives living in the area, the people are much more connected to Belfast, than they are Carrickfergus or Larne.  
  
It is my opinion that Fernagh remains in North Belfast.

Comments on the Commission's revised proposal for the constituency of Belfast South and Mid Down

Only issue is the size of it, seems too large to be a Belfast seat.

Comments on the Commission's revised proposal for the constituency of Belfast West

No issues

Comments on the Commission's revised proposal for the constituency of East Antrim

Doesn't make sense for East Antrim to gain Fernagh when the only way into it is to enter through North Belfast. Also the area has little connection to East Antrim, with most members of the public going to North Belfast Elected Reps. over East Antrim.  
  
Newtownabbey should remain mostly in North Belfast or South Antrim, not East Antrim.

Comments on the Commission's revised proposal for the constituency of East Londonderry

No issues

Comments on the Commission's revised proposal for the constituency of Fermanagh & South Tyrone

Goes too far into Armagh City

Comments on the Commission's revised proposal for the constituency of Foyle

No issues

Comments on the Commission's revised proposal for the constituency of Lagan Valley

No issues

Comments on the Commission's revised proposal for the constituency of Mid Ulster

No issues

Comments on the Commission's revised proposal for the constituency of Newry and Armagh

No issues

Comments on the Commission's revised proposal for the constituency of North Antrim

No issues

Comments on the Commission's revised proposal for the constituency of North Down

No issues

Comments on the Commission's revised proposal for the constituency of South Antrim

No issues

Comments on the Commission's revised proposal for the constituency of South Down

No issues

Comments on the Commission's revised proposal for the constituency of Strangford

No issues

Comments on the Commission's revised proposal for the constituency of Upper Bann

No issues

Comments on the Commission's revised proposal for the constituency of West Tyrone

No issues